JACC FOCUS SEMINAR: VENOUS THROMBOEMBOLISM

JACC FOCUS SEMINAR

Advanced Management of Intermediateand High-Risk Pulmonary Embolism

Gregory Piazza, MD, MS

ABSTRACT

Intermediate-risk (submassive) pulmonary embolism (PE) describes normotensive patients with evidence of right ventricular compromise, whereas high-risk (massive) PE comprises those who have experienced hemodynamic decompensation with hypotension, cardiogenic shock, or cardiac arrest. Together, these 2 syndromes represent the most clinically challenging manifestations of the PE spectrum. Prompt therapeutic anticoagulation remains the cornerstone of therapy for both intermediate- and high-risk PE. Patients with intermediate-risk PE who subsequently deteriorate despite anticoagulation and those with high-risk PE require additional advanced therapies, typically focused on pulmonary artery reperfusion. Strategies for reperfusion therapy include systemic fibrinolysis, surgical pulmonary embolectomy, and a growing number of options for catheter-based therapy. Multidisciplinary PE response teams can aid in selection of appropriate management strategies, especially where gaps in evidence exist and guideline recommendations are sparse. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;76:2117-27) Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation.

Hereogeneity of clinical presentation, limited randomized controlled trial data, and a burgeoning number of advanced treatment options have established pulmonary embolism (PE) as one of the most challenging cardiovascular disorders in clinical medicine. The incidence of PE in the United States is estimated to be 121 per 100,000 population (1). Greater sensitivity of diagnostic imaging, an aging population, and increasing prevalence of venous thromboembolism risk factors, such as obesity and cancer, can be expected to continue to drive PE incidence. Although case fatality rates appear to be decreasing, PE-related mortality in the United States continues to be high, with estimates ranging from 19.4 to 32.3 per 100,000

(2,3). In-hospital mortality approaches 7% for all patients with PE and 33% for those presenting with hemodynamic instability (4). Death due to PE is largely from progressive right heart failure and occurs most commonly in patients with signs of right ventricular (RV) dysfunction (intermediate-risk PE) or hemodynamic instability (high-risk PE) (5).

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

HEMODYNAMICS. Acute PE results in an abrupt increase in pulmonary vascular resistance and RV afterload through direct physical obstruction, hypoxemic vasoconstriction, and release of pulmonary artery vasoconstrictors (Figure 1). Acute increases in



Listen to this manuscript's audio summary by Editor-in-Chief Dr. Valentin Fuster on JACC.org.

From the Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts. Dr. Piazza has received significant research grant support from BTG International, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Daiichi-Sankyo, Bayer, Portola, and Janssen; and has received modest consulting fees from Pfizer and Thrombolex. The author attests he is in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the *JACC* author instructions page.

Manuscript received March 23, 2020; revised manuscript received May 4, 2020, accepted May 5, 2020.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

CT = computed tomography

CTEPH = chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension

DOAC = direct oral anticoagulant

DVT = deep vein thrombosis

ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation

FDA = U.S. Food and Drug Administration

IVC = inferior vena cava

LV = left ventricular

PE = pulmonary embolism

PESI = Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index

RV = right ventricular

sPESI = simplified PESI

t-PA = tissue-plasminogen activator afterload lead to RV dilation and hypokinesis, tricuspid regurgitation, and ultimately acute RV failure. Patients with RV failure may abruptly decompensate, with systemic arterial hypotension, cardiogenic shock, and cardiac arrest.

RV pressure overload may also result in interventricular septal deviation toward the left ventricle (LV), thereby limiting LV diastole. Abnormal LV filling can be detected echocardiographically by transmitral Doppler with left atrial contraction, represented by the A-wave, making a paradoxically greater contribution to diastole than passive filling, represented by the E-wave (Figure 1). RV pressure overload produces increased wall stress and resultant ischemia by increasing myocardial oxygen demand while simultaneously limiting supply.

GAS EXCHANGE. Ventilation-to-perfusion mismatch, increases in total dead space, and right-to-left shunting contribute to perturbations of gas exchange in patients with acute PE.

HIGHLIGHTS

- Patients with intermediate- and high-risk PE represent the populations at highest risk for early mortality.
- Although immediate anticoagulation is the cornerstone of management, patients with intermediate- to high-risk PE who deteriorate despite anticoagulant therapy and those with high-risk PE should be considered for advanced therapies.
- Options for pulmonary reperfusion include systemic fibrinolysis, surgical embolectomy, and a growing number of catheter-based therapies.
- Clinical outcome-driven, randomized controlled trials are needed to define the place of these advanced therapies in management pathways for intermediateand high-risk PE.



The 2 most common gas exchange abnormalities are hypoxemia and increased alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient. Some patients with acute PE may hyperventilate, leading to hypocapnia and respiratory alkalosis. Hypercapnia may accompany high-risk PE due to impaired minute ventilation and increased anatomic and physiological dead space.

LONG-TERM SEQUELAE

CHRONIC THROMBOEMBOLIC PULMONARY HYPERTENSION. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) is characterized by persistent pulmonary arterial obstruction, pulmonary vasoconstriction, and a secondary small-vessel arteriopathy resulting in chronic dyspnea and functional limitation and occurs in 2% to 4% of patients after PE (6). Pulmonary thromboendarterectomy is the most effective and durable therapy for CTEPH. Pulmonary vasodilators, such as riociguat, offer the potential for improved symptoms and functional capacity to patients with CTEPH who are inoperable and or have postthromboendarterectomy pulmonary hypertension (7). A growing experience in balloon pulmonary angioplasty provides an additional option for patients who are not candidates for surgery (8). Advanced therapy for PE, in particular systemic fibrinolysis, has not been proven to prevent CTEPH (9). Because the evaluation and treatment are complex and evolving, patients with CTEPH should be referred to specialized centers.

POST-PE SYNDROME. The post-PE syndrome is characterized by persistent symptoms, including chest pain and dyspnea, functional limitation, and exercise intolerance in the absence of pulmonary hypertension and is more common than CTEPH (10). The relative contribution of deconditioning versus persistent cardiopulmonary limitations due to PE on such symptoms is unclear (10). The impact of advanced therapies such as systemic fibrinolysis or catheter-based intervention on the frequency of the post-PE syndrome remains undefined. However, in long-term follow-up from the PEITHO (Pulmonary Embolism International Thrombolysis) trial, systemic fibrinolysis did not reduce symptom burden or functional limitation in patients with intermediate-risk PE (9).

PE SYNDROMES AND THE APPROACH TO RISK STRATIFICATION

SPECTRUM OF PE SYNDROMES. Although most patients with PE have normal blood pressure, preserved RV function, and normal cardiac biomarkers, a subset present with risk factors for adverse outcomes (2). Patients with high-risk (also termed massive) PE present with syncope, systemic arterial hypotension, cardiogenic shock, or cardiac arrest. Patients with catastrophic, or "super-massive," PE demonstrate refractory shock or require ongoing cardiopulmonary resuscitation and may require mechanical circulatory support such as extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO). Intermediate-risk (also called submassive) PE comprises a heterogeneous population characterized by initially normal hemodynamics and evidence of RV dysfunction. Intermediate-risk PE may be particularly challenging because a subset may suddenly, often without warning, develop systemic arterial hypotension, cardiogenic shock, and sudden death, despite prompt therapeutic anticoagulation. Intermediate-risk PE demonstrates considerable heterogeneity with regard to degree of RV dysfunction and prognosis, and may be further subcategorized (Figure 2). Intermediate-high-risk patients with evidence of RV dysfunction on imaging and positive cardiac biomarkers are more likely to clinically deteriorate than intermediate-low-risk patients who have RV dysfunction on imaging, positive cardiac biomarkers, or neither.

RISK STRATIFICATION. Bedside scoring systems for prognostication of the risk of adverse outcomes and therapeutic decision making, such as the PESI (Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index) and simplified PESI (sPESI), are validated tools for stratification of patients with PE based on clinical parameters. High PESI and sPESI scores define a subset of patients with increased 30-day mortality.

Elevations in cardiac biomarkers, in particular cardiac troponin and brain-type natriuretic peptide, correspond with RV pressure overload and result from RV microinfarction and increased shear stress, respectively. Increased cardiac troponin and brain-type natriuretic peptide are associated with increased short-term mortality and adverse outcomes in patients with acute PE. Among normotensive patients, cardiac biomarkers distinguish intermediaterisk from low-risk PE.

Detection of RV enlargement by contrast-enhanced chest computed tomography (CT) has become an especially convenient risk stratification tool because it uses data acquired from the initial diagnostic scan. Based on measurements from an axial CT view, RV enlargement, defined as an RV diameter-to-left ventricular (LV) diameter (RV-to-LV) ratio of >0.90, is an independent predictor of 30-day PE mortality. A systematic approach to echocardiography provides accurate assessment of RV dysfunction while also

Guidelines	Category	Hemodynamic Status	PE Severity Index (PESI) (or Simplified PESI)	Evidence of RV Dysfunction
American Heart Association (AHA, 2011)	Massive	Unstable	High	Typically Abnormal RV on Imaging, Elevated Troponin, <u>OR</u> Both
	Submassive	Stable	High	May Have Abnormal RV on Imaging <u>OR</u> Elevated Troponin <u>OR</u> Both
	Low Risk	Stable	Typically Low	None
European Society of Cardiology (ESC, 2019)	High Risk	Unstable	High	Typically Abnormal RV on Imaging, Elevated Troponin, <u>OR</u> Both
	Intermediate- High Risk	Stable	High	Abnormal RV on Imaging, <u>AND</u> Elevated Troponin
	Intermediate-Low Risk	Stable	High	May Have Abnormal RV on Imaging <u>OR</u> Elevated Troponin But Not Both
	Low Risk	Stable	Low	None

PESI = Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index; RV = right ventricular.

evaluating for pulmonary hypertension in patients diagnosed with PE (2). Echocardiographic evidence of RV dysfunction defines intermediate-risk PE and detects patients with an increased risk of systemic arterial hypotension, cardiogenic shock, and death. Echocardiography should be performed in patients with acute PE and clinical evidence of RV failure, elevated cardiac biomarkers, suspected pulmonary arterial hypertension, or clinical deterioration.

Risk stratification algorithms should integrate clinical prognostic indicators, cardiac biomarkers, and evidence of RV dysfunction as detected by either echocardiography or contrast-enhanced chest CT (**Central Illustration**) (2). Patients with high-risk PE should be considered for advanced therapies for reperfusion because of a high mortality with anticoagulation alone. A subset of patients with intermediate-high-risk PE may be considered for rescue reperfusion on a case-by-case basis based on clinical deterioration or failure to improve despite therapeutic anticoagulation (11).

THE ROLE OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESPONSE TEAMS

Multidisciplinary PE response teams have emerged in response to the clinical challenges of limited highquality comparative data regarding advanced therapies, rapidly advancing technology for device therapy, and a number of evidence-based clinical practice guidelines with varying and sometimes conflicting recommendations for patients with intermediate- or high-risk PE (12). Modeled after established "heart team" approaches to myocardial infarction, stroke, and acute aortic syndromes, this rapid response strategy harnesses multidisciplinary expertise, including cardiovascular medicine, pulmonology, hematology, radiology, cardiac surgery, and interventionalists, to individualize PE care. Widespread adoption of a multidisciplinary response team concept has been predicated on the promise of reduced heterogeneity of PE management both within individual medical centers and across health care systems, improved access to advanced therapies



Risk stratification incorporates assessment of hemodynamics and clinical features, cardiac biomarker determination, and detection of RV dysfunction on imaging. Selection of advanced therapy should consider the risk of hemodynamic decompensation and early mortality with interventional complications and bleeding. **(A)** Approach to risk stratification of patients with acute PE. **(B)** Risk-based management of acute PE syndromes. CT = computed tomogram; IVC = inferior vena cava; PE = pulmonary embolism; PESI = Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index; RV = right ventricular; sPESI = simplified Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index. for those patients at increased risk of adverse outcomes, more appropriate use of interventional therapies, improved clinical outcomes, and reduced length of stay and health care costs (13). Despite the rapid proliferation of this multidisciplinary approach internationally, there have been limited reported data regarding its real-world impact. The 2019 European Society of Cardiology Guidelines encourage a multidisciplinary response team approach in management of patients with intermediate- and high-risk PE (2).

ANTICOAGULATION IN INTERMEDIATE-AND HIGH-RISK PE

Regardless of whether patients receive advanced therapy, prompt therapeutic-intensity anticoagulation comprises the cornerstone of treatment for intermediate- and high-risk PE (Figure 3). Anticoagulant strategies for immediate treatment of acute PE include intravenous unfractionated heparin or injectable therapy with low-molecular weight heparin or fondaparinux with transition to a direct oral anticoagulant (DOAC) or vitamin K antagonist, or completely oral monotherapy with a DOAC, such as apixaban or rivaroxaban. Because it can be discontinued and rapidly reversed, unfractionated heparin has been the preferred anticoagulant for patients undergoing advanced therapy with fibrinolysis, catheter-based intervention, or surgery for PE. However, greater awareness regarding the danger of subtherapeutic anticoagulation associated with unfractionated heparin has driven a growing preference for the consistent antithrombotic effect of lowmolecular weight heparin in patients with PE with increased risk of adverse outcomes. DOACs represent a considerable advancement in anticoagulation for acute PE with comparable efficacy to vitamin K antagonists but substantial reductions in bleeding complications and greater convenience (14).Evidence-based clinical practice guidelines recommend DOACs as first line for oral anticoagulation in patients with acute PE (2,11).

ADVANCED THERAPIES FOR INTERMEDIATE- AND HIGH-RISK PE

Advanced therapies for acute PE include systemic fibrinolysis, catheter-based intervention, surgical pulmonary embolectomy, and mechanical circulatory support (Table 1). Choosing a particular advanced therapy depends on the individual patient's risk for adverse outcomes due to PE and for major bleeding, most importantly intracranial hemorrhage (Central Illustration).

SYSTEMIC FIBRINOLYSIS. The rationale for systemic fibrinolysis in intermediate-risk PE is to avert impending hemodynamic collapse and death from progressive right-sided heart failure. In patients with high-risk PE, systemic fibrinolytic therapy is administered to rapidly reverse hemodynamic compromise, RV dysfunction, and gas exchange abnormalities. Systemic fibrinolysis is considered a lifesaving therapy in patients presenting with intermediate- and high-risk PE (15,16). The Europe-based PEITHO is the largest randomized controlled trial of systemic fibrinolysis in PE to date, enrolling 1,006 patients with intermediate-risk PE (17). The study evaluated the impact of systemic fibrinolysis with tenecteplase followed by anticoagulation with heparin versus heparin alone on the primary outcome of all-cause mortality or hemodynamic collapse within 7 days of randomization. Systemic fibrinolysis reduced the frequency of the primary outcome (2.6% vs. 5.6%, p = 0.015) with most of the benefit due to a reduction in hemodynamic collapse within 7 days (1.6% vs. 5%, p = 0.002). However, the benefit of fibrinolysis came at the cost of increased major hemorrhage (6.3% vs. 1.5%, p < 0.001), with approximately 2% of the tenecteplase-treated patients suffering intracranial hemorrhage.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved 100 mg tissue-plasminogen activator (t-PA) as a continuous infusion via a peripheral vein over 2 h for the fibrinolysis of acute PE. Patients being considered for fibrinolysis should be meticulously assessed for contraindications. Concern over the risk of intracranial hemorrhage, which approaches 3% to 5% outside of clinical trials has dampened clinician enthusiasm for full-dose systemic fibrinolysis and has sparked development of alternative fibrinolytic strategies with lower bleeding risk.

One alternative strategy has focused on half-dose systemic fibrinolysis. Initial enthusiasm for this strategy was based on limited international and single-center experiences (18,19). However, a more recent propensity score-matched study comparing outcomes in 3,768 patients receiving 50 mg versus full-dose 100 mg of alteplase for PE demonstrated that half-dose fibrinolysis was associated with increased frequency of treatment escalation (53.8% vs. 41.4%; p < 0.01), driven largely by secondary fibrinolysis (25.9% vs. 7.3%; p < 0.01) and catheterdirected therapy (14.2% vs. 3.8%; p < 0.01) (20). Furthermore, hospital mortality (13% vs. 15%; p = 0.3), intracranial hemorrhage (0.5% vs. 0.4%; p = 0.67), gastrointestinal bleeding (1.6% vs. 1.6%;



p= 0.99), and acute blood loss anemia (6.9% vs. 4.6%; p= 0.11) were similar.

CATHETER-BASED THERAPY. Catheter-based therapy for treatment of acute PE includes pharmacomechanical therapy, catheter-directed fibrinolysis, and mechanical embolectomy. Catheter-based therapy combining local fibrinolysis with mechanical thrombectomy offers the potential advantage of increased efficacy of thrombus dissolution due to the synergistic effects of higher local fibrinolytic drug concentrations and mechanical disruption with greater exposed thrombus surface area. Because higher local drug concentration is achieved with lower overall dose of fibrinolytic agent, catheterbased therapy may offer the advantage of decreased hemorrhagic complications. The evidence base for the efficacy and safety of these various catheter-based techniques varies and is lacking the randomized controlled trials powered to evaluate clinical outcomes (21).

The most extensively studied percutaneous technique for treatment of acute PE is ultrasound-facilitated, catheter-directed fibrinolysis (Boston Scientific Corporation, Marlborough, Massachusetts) (22-24). In a European randomized controlled trial of 59 patients with intermediate-risk PE, ultrasound-facilitated, catheter-directed fibrinolysis with low-dose t-PA (20 mg total) plus anticoagulation reduced a surrogate endpoint, RV-to-LV ratio, from baseline to 24 h to a greater extent than anticoagulation (22). In

the U.S.-based single-arm, multicenter SEATTLE (Prospective, Single-Arm Multi-Center Trial of EkoSonic Endovascular System and Activase for Treatment of Acute Pulmonary Embolism) II trial, the safety and efficacy of ultrasound-facilitated, catheterdirected fibrinolysis (24 mg t-PA) was assessed in 150 patients with high- (n = 31) or intermediate-risk (n = 119) PE (23). Mean RV-to-LV ratio decreased by 25% (1.55 vs. 1.13; mean difference, -0.42; p < 0.0001), mean pulmonary artery systolic pressure decreased by 30% (51.4 mm Hg vs. 36.9 mm Hg; mean difference, -14.4 mm Hg; p < 0.0001), and mean modified Miller angiographic obstruction index diminished by 30% (22.5 vs. 15.8; mean difference, -6.6; p < 0.0001) from pre-procedure to 48 h post-procedure. Major bleeding occurred in 10% of patients, with none experiencing intracranial hemorrhage. On May 21, 2014, the FDA cleared ultrasound-facilitated, catheter-directed fibrinolysis with the EkoSonic Endovascular System for treatment of PE. In a subsequent dose-ranging trial, 4 accelerated-dosing regimens (8 min/2 h, 8 min/4 h, 12 min/6 h, and 24 min/6 h) for ultrasound-facilitated, catheter-directed fibrinolysis were evaluated in 101 patients with intermediate-risk PE (24). All 4 regimens improved RV function comparable to 24 mg of t-PA administered over 12 to 24 h, based on the CTcalculated RV-to-LV ratio from baseline to 48 h. Major bleeding was observed in 4% of patients, with intracranial hemorrhage in 1 patient who received an

TABLE 1 Options for Advanced Therapy in Acute PE						
Option	Indications	Advantages	Disadvantages			
Systemic fibrinolysis	High- and intermediate-high- risk PE	 Rapid administration Decreases mortality Prevents hemodynamic collapse Expedites RV recovery and symptom relief 	• 2%-5% risk of ICH			
Catheter-directed therapy	High- and intermediate-high- risk PE	 Expedites RV recovery and symptom relief Reduced risk of ICH Option for mechanical embolectomy with some devices 	 Limited long-term and comparative data May take time to mobilize 			
Surgical embolectomy	High- and intermediate-high- risk PE	 Expedites RV recovery and symptom relief Reduced risk of ICH Avoids need for fibrinolysis 	 Limited long-term and comparative data May take time to mobilize Limited to more centrally located PE 			
ECMO	Refractory cardiogenic shock	 Supports hemodynamics and oxygenation in patients with refractory shock or hypoxemia 	Limited long-term and comparative dataMay take time to mobilize			
ECMO = extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; ICH = intracranial hemorrhage; PE = pulmonary embolism; RV = right ventricular.						

additional 50 mg of t-PA intravenously and another with baseline pancytopenia and previously unknown arteriovenous malformation. In 1-year follow-up, these accelerated lower-dose t-PA regimens for ultrasound-facilitated, catheter-directed fibrinolysis resulted in sustained RV recovery as assessed by serial echocardiography and continued improvements in functional status and quality of life (25). A study using a novel technique for 3-dimensional reconstruction of the pulmonary vasculature from chest CT data obtained in the SEATTLE II trial demonstrated that reduction in RV volume correlated with increased blood volume through the distal, rather than proximal, pulmonary arteries (26). These data suggest that ultrasound-facilitated, catheter-directed fibrinolysis may function to relieve RV pressure overload through distal pulmonary artery reperfusion.

Purely mechanical catheter embolectomy techniques may be advantageous in patients with PE with contraindications to fibrinolytic therapy. The Flow-Triever system (Inari Medical, Irvine, California) is a device that mechanically engages large-bore thrombus via 3 self-expanding nitinol disks and then aspirates trapped thrombus. In typical practice, the device is used as a simple large-bore aspiration catheter without deployment of the nitinol disks. In a U.S.-based single-arm, multicenter study of 106 patients with intermediate-risk PE, embolectomy with the FlowTriever system resulted in a 25% reduction in CT-measured RV-to-LV ratio (mean difference, -0.38; p < 0.0001) and 10% decrease in mean modified Miller index (mean difference, -1.90; p < 0.001) (27). In the study, 6 major adverse events occurred in 4 patients within 48 h of the procedure, including 1 major bleeding event. The FlowTriever device received FDA clearance for treatment of PE in May 2018. The Indigo Thrombectomy System (Penumbra, Inc., Alameda, California) is a smaller-bore aspiration catheter that does not require fibrinolytic administration and that was evaluated in a single-arm study of 119 patients with intermediate-risk PE (EXTRACT-PE [Evaluating the Safety and Efficacy of the Indigo Aspiration System in Acute Pulmonary Embolism]; NCT03218566). Treatment with the Indigo device resulted in a 27% reduction in the mean CT-measured RV-to-LV diameter ratio and was associated with 3 major adverse events in 2 patients (28). The AngioVac system (AngioDynamics, Inc., Latham, New York) is a veno-veno bypass system that includes a 22-F suction thrombectomy catheter. Evidence for the use of the AngioVac system to treat PE has been limited (29).

Other devices for catheter-based therapy in acute PE are in various stages of development and study (21). Catheter-direct therapy, using local fibrinolysis without targeted mechanical thrombus disruption, has undergone limited prospective evaluation and may be a consideration for patients with high-risk or intermediate-high-risk PE (30). Important knowledge gaps, such as the impact on clinical outcomes compared with anticoagulation alone and implications of time to catheter placement, procedure time, operator learning curve, procedural volumes, and cost, hinder the integration of catheter-based therapies into clinical practice pathways for treatment of PE (2,21). A number of guidance documents have highlighted the lack of mortality data and the need for randomized controlled trials to elucidate the clinical benefits versus risks of catheter-based therapy in intermediate-high-risk and high-risk PE (2,11,21,31).

Current evidence-based clinical practice guidelines reflect the limitations in the data in their positions on

catheter-based therapy. The 2019 European Society of Cardiology Guidelines offer catheter-based therapy as an alternative to surgical embolectomy for patients with high-risk PE in whom systemic fibrinolysis has failed or is contraindicated (Class IIa; Level of Evidence: C) and as an alternative to systemic fibrinolysis in other patients with PE who have experienced hemodynamic deterioration despite anticoagulation (Class IIa; Level of Evidence: C) (2). In patients with acute PE associated with hypotension and have a high risk of bleeding, have failed systemic fibrinolysis, or have shock that is likely to result in death before systemic fibrinolysis can take effect, the 2016 American College of Chest Physicians Guidelines suggest catheter-directed therapy over no intervention, if the expertise and resources are available (Grade 2C) (11).

SURGICAL EMBOLECTOMY. Surgical pulmonary embolectomy is considered in patients with intermediate-high- or high-risk PE in whom fibrinolysis has failed or is contraindicated (32). Rescue surgical pulmonary embolectomy after failed fibrinolysis is preferred over repeat fibrinolytic administration. Other indications include paradoxical embolism, "clot-in-transit," and hemodynamic collapse or respiratory failure requiring cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Surgical pulmonary embolectomy is most effective in patients with large centrally located PE. In experienced centers, surgical pulmonary embolectomy has been shown to be safe and effective (33). Optimal results are achieved when the patient is referred before the development of pressordependent hypotension or cardiogenic shock.

HEMODYNAMIC SUPPORT FOR HIGH-RISK PE

Although the initial strategy to manage hemodynamic instability is often to augment RV preload with bolus administration of intravenous fluids, excessive volume resuscitation may exacerbate RV failure by overdistending the RV, increasing wall stress, worsening RV ischemia, decreasing contractility, and causing further interventricular septal shift toward the LV, thereby limiting LV filling and systemic cardiac output. An initial trial of intravenous volume is most likely to be successful in patients without signs of increased right-sided preload, such as those with central venous pressures of <15 mm Hg. In patients with central venous pressures of >15 mm Hg, volume loading should be avoided, and administration of vasopressors and inotropes should be the initial step in hemodynamic support.

The optimal agent for the hemodynamic support of patients with high-risk PE should augment RV function through positive inotropic effects while also maintaining systemic arterial perfusion pressure. Norepinephrine, epinephrine, and dopamine have dual mechanisms of action as both inotropes and vasopressors and therefore may be preferred in the initial support of patients with high-risk PE. Inotropes such as dobutamine may be required to augment cardiac output but may also cause systemic arterial hypotension. In these cases, the addition of a vasopressor may be necessary to support end-organ perfusion while administering inotropes. In other patients with high-risk PE and tachycardia, a primary vasopressor such as vasopressin or phenylephrine may be most appropriate to avoid accelerating the heart rate further. Although pulmonary vasodilators have the theoretical benefit of reducing pulmonary vascular resistance and improving RV function, a multicenter randomized placebo-controlled trial of inhaled nitric oxide in patients with intermediate-risk PE demonstrated no benefit on a primary endpoint of complete RV recovery and normalization of cardiac troponin and a secondary endpoint of normalization of brain-type natriuretic peptide and Borg dyspnea score <3 (34).

ECMO is indicated for hemodynamic and ventilatory support in patients with severe RV failure and refractory cardiogenic shock due to acute PE. Analysis of the U.S. National Inpatient Sample has shown in upward trend in utilization of ECMO for patients with high-risk PE over the time period from 2005 to 2013 (35). In-hospital mortality for high-risk PE patients receiving ECMO during this time period remained high at 61.6%. Predictors of increased mortality with the use of ECMO for high-risk PE included increasing age, female sex, obesity, heart failure, and chronic lung disease. Although ECMO has been traditionally used as a temporizing measure until advanced therapy, such as surgical embolectomy, can be instituted, more recent data suggest that most patients who present with high-risk PE and are supported with ECMO will recover with anticoagulation alone (36). Recent cannulation for ECMO is often viewed as a contraindication to systemic fibrinolysis.

INFERIOR VENA CAVA FILTERS

Inferior vena cava (IVC) filter insertion is considered in patients with acute PE with contraindications to anticoagulation or with recurrent PE despite therapeutic anticoagulation (2,11). IVC filter insertion had also been considered on an individual basis for patients with intermediate- or high-risk PE who were receiving therapeutic anticoagulation but who had limited cardiopulmonary reserve, such that a subsequent PE would likely be fatal. This indication was the focus of the PREPIC2 (Prevention of Recurrent Pulmonary Embolism by Vena Cava Interruption) trial, which randomly assigned 399 normotensive patients with acute PE, concomitant lower extremity deep vein thrombosis (DVT), and at least 1 risk factor for adverse outcomes to retrievable IVC filter implantation plus anticoagulation versus anticoagulation alone (37). Adjunctive insertion of a retrievable IVC filter, compared with anticoagulation alone, did not reduce the risk of symptomatic recurrent PE or mortality at 3 or 6 months. Based on these findings, IVC filters should not be routinely inserted in patients with intermediate- and high-risk PE who can be treated with anticoagulation. In a meta-analysis summarizing data from randomized controlled trials and prospective controlled observational studies, IVC filters appear to reduce the short-term risk of subsequent PE, increase the long-term risk for DVT, and have no impact on overall mortality (38).

After an FDA advisory regarding IVC filter utilization in 2010 and updates to societal guidelines, annual IVC filter use has declined in the United States (39). Despite data demonstrating the safety and ease with which retrievable IVC filters can be removed, up to 50% remain permanently indwelling (40,41). Device-related complications include strut fracture, filter migration, strut embolization, device tilt, IVC penetration, perforation of surrounding structures, PE, DVT, and IVC thrombosis. To avoid such complications, IVC filters should be retrieved as soon as no longer necessary and anticoagulation has been safely initiated.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN ADVANCED CARE FOR INTERMEDIATE- AND HIGH-RISK PE

Although the past decade was marked by remarkable growth in PE-related clinical investigation, several critical research needs persist. More precise risk stratification tools to pre-emptively identify patients with intermediate-risk PE with the highest risk of clinical deterioration will be necessary for selection of those who would benefit from advanced therapies. The mortality rate for high-risk PE remains unacceptably high. Strategies for selection of the optimal advanced therapy and hemodynamic support in patients with high-risk PE are sorely needed. Although gaining widespread acceptance and demonstrating great potential, multidisciplinary PE response teams warrant similarly rigorous clinical evaluation as have been demanded from medical and device therapies to better understand their benefits and costs. Finally, the burgeoning area of device therapies for PE calls for appropriately powered, clinical endpoint-driven, randomized controlled trials to define their place in clinical pathways for intermediate- and high-risk PE management.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr. Gregory Piazza, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 75 Francis Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02115. E-mail: gpiazza@partners.org. Twitter: @BrighamWomens.

REFERENCES

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Venous thromboembolism in adult hospitalizations - United States, 2007-2009. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2012;61:401-4.

2. Konstantinides SV, Meyer G, Becattini C, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism developed in collaboration with the European Respiratory Society (ERS). Eur Heart J 2019;41: 543-603.

3. Wendelboe AM, Raskob GE. Global burden of thrombosis: epidemiologic aspects. Circ Res 2016; 118:1340-7.

4. Casazza F, Becattini C, Bongarzoni A, et al. Clinical features and short term outcomes of patients with acute pulmonary embolism. The Italian Pulmonary Embolism Registry (IPER). Thromb Res 2012;130:847-52.

5. Piazza G. Submassive pulmonary embolism. JAMA 2013;309:171-80.

6. Piazza G, Goldhaber SZ. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. N Engl J Med 2011; 364:351-60. **7.** Ghofrani HA, D'Armini AM, Grimminger F, et al. Riociguat for the treatment of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. N Engl J Med 2013;369:319-29.

8. Kataoka M, Inami T, Kawakami T, Fukuda K, Satoh T. Balloon pulmonary angioplasty (percutaneous transluminal pulmonary angioplasty) for chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: a Japanese perspective. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2019;12:1382-8.

9. Konstantinides SV, Vicaut E, Danays T, et al. Impact of thrombolytic therapy on the long-term outcome of intermediate-risk pulmonary embolism. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017;69:1536-44.

10. Kahn SR, Akaberi A, Granton JT, et al. Quality of life, dyspnea, and functional exercise capacity following a first episode of pulmonary embolism: results of the ELOPE Cohort Study. Am J Med 2017;130:990.e9–21.

11. Kearon C, Akl EA, Ornelas J, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for VTE Disease: CHEST guideline and expert panel report. Chest 2016;149: 315-52. **12.** Dudzinski DM, Piazza G. Multidisciplinary pulmonary embolism response teams. Circulation 2016;133:98–103.

13. Giri JS, Piazza G. A midterm report card for pulmonary embolism response teams. Vasc Med 2018;23:72-4.

14. van Es N, Coppens M, Schulman S, Middeldorp S, Buller HR. Direct oral anticoagulants compared with vitamin K antagonists for acute venous thromboembolism: evidence from phase 3 trials. Blood 2014;124:1968-75.

15. Chatterjee S, Chakraborty A, Weinberg I, et al. Thrombolysis for pulmonary embolism and risk of all-cause mortality, major bleeding, and intracranial hemorrhage: a meta-analysis. JAMA 2014;311: 2414-21.

16. Marti C, John G, Konstantinides S, et al. Systemic thrombolytic therapy for acute pulmonary embolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Heart J 2015;36:605-14.

17. Meyer G, Vicaut E, Danays T, et al. Fibrinolysis for patients with intermediate-risk pulmonary embolism. N Engl J Med 2014;370:1402-11.

18. Sharifi M, Bay C, Skrocki L, Rahimi F, Mehdipour M, "MOPETT" Investigators. Moderate pulmonary embolism treated with thrombolysis (from the "MOPETT" Trial). The Am J Cardiol 2013; 111:273–7.

19. Wang C, Zhai Z, Yang Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of low dose recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator for the treatment of acute pulmonary thromboembolism: a randomized, multicenter, controlled trial. Chest 2010;137: 254-62.

20. Kiser TH, Burnham EL, Clark B, et al. Half-dose versus full-dose alteplase for treatment of pulmonary embolism. Crit Care Med 2018;46: 1617-25.

21. Giri J, Sista AK, Weinberg I, et al. Interventional therapies for acute pulmonary embolism: current status and principles for the development of novel evidence: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2019;140:e774–801.

22. Kucher N, Boekstegers P, Muller O, et al. Randomized controlled trial of ultrasoundassisted catheter-directed thrombolysis for acute intermediate-risk pulmonary embolism. Circulation 2014;129:479-86.

23. Piazza G, Hohlfelder B, Jaff MR, et al. A prospective, single-arm, multicenter trial of ultrasound-facilitated, catheter-directed, lowdose fibrinolysis for acute massive and submassive pulmonary embolism: The SEATTLE II Study. J Am Coll Cardiol Inty 2015;8:1382-92.

24. Tapson VF, Sterling K, Jones N, et al. A randomized trial of the optimum duration of acoustic pulse thrombolysis procedure in acute intermediate-risk pulmonary embolism: The OPTA-LYSE PE Trial. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2018;11:1401-10.

25. Piazza G, Sterling KM, Tapson VF, et al. One-year echocardiographic, functional, and quality of life outcomes after ultrasound-facilitated catheter-based fibrinolysis for pulmonary embolism. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2020 Aug 6 [E-pub ahead of print].

26. Rahaghi FN, San José Estépar R, Goldhaber SZ, et al. Quantification and significance of the pulmonary vascular response to ultrasoundfacilitated, catheter-directed fibrinolysis in patients with acute pulmonary embolism (SEAT- TLE-3D): a substudy of SEATTLE-2. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2019;12:e009903.

27. Tu T, Toma C, Tapson VF, et al. A prospective, single-arm, multicenter trial of catheter-directed mechanical thrombectomy for intermediate-risk acute pulmonary embolism: The FLARE Study. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2019;12:859–69.

28. VIVA 2019: Penumbra Indigo Aspiration system IDE trial for acute PE meets primary safety and efficacy endpoints. 2019. Available at: https://vascularnews.com/viva19-penumbra-indigo-aspiration-system-ide-trial-for-acute-pe-meets-primary-safety-and-efficacy-endpoints/. Accessed March 21, 2020.

29. Donaldson CW, Baker JN, Narayan RL, et al. Thrombectomy using suction filtration and venovenous bypass: single center experience with a novel device. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2015;86: E81-7.

30. Kuo WT, Banerjee A, Kim PS, et al. Pulmonary Embolism Response to Fragmentation, Embolectomy, and Catheter Thrombolysis (PERFECT): initial results from a prospective multicenter registry. Chest 2015;148:667-73.

31. Jaff MR, McMurtry MS, Archer SL, et al. Management of massive and submassive pulmonary embolism, iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis, and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2011;123:1788–830.

32. Poterucha TJ, Bergmark B, Aranki S, Kaneko T, Piazza G. Surgical pulmonary embolectomy. Circulation 2015;132:1146-51.

33. Kolkailah AA, Hirji S, Piazza G, et al. Surgical pulmonary embolectomy and catheter-directed thrombolysis for treatment of submassive pulmonary embolism. J Card Surg 2018;33:252-9.

34. Kline JA, Puskarich MA, Jones AE, et al. Inhaled nitric oxide to treat intermediate risk pulmonary embolism: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. Nitric Oxide 2019;84:60-8.

35. Elbadawi A, Mentias A, Elgendy IY, et al. National trends and outcomes for extracorporeal membrane oxygenation use in highrisk pulmonary embolism. Vasc Med 2019;24: 230-3. **36.** Ghoreishi M, DiChiacchio L, Pasrija C, et al. Predictors of recovery in patients supported with VA-ECMO for acute massive pulmonary embolism. Ann Thorac Surg 2020; 110:70–5.

37. Mismetti P, Laporte S, Pellerin O, et al. Effect of a retrievable inferior vena cava filter plus anticoagulation vs anticoagulation alone on risk of recurrent pulmonary embolism: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2015;313: 1627-35.

38. Bikdeli B, Chatterjee S, Desai NR, et al. Inferior vena cava filters to prevent pulmonary embolism: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2017;70:1587–97.

39. Ahmed O, Patel K, Patel MV, et al. Declining national annual IVC filter utilization: an analysis on the impact of societal and governmental communications. Chest 2017;151: 1402-4.

40. Rottenstreich A, Spectre G, Roth B, Bloom AI, Kalish Y. Patterns of use and outcome of inferior vena cava filters in a tertiary care setting. Eur J Haematol 2015 Mar 6 [E-pub ahead of print].

41. Sutphin PD, Reis SP, McKune A, Ravanzo M, Kalva SP, Pillai AK. Improving inferior vena cava filter retrieval rates with the define, measure, analyze, improve, control methodology. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2015;26: 491-8.e1.

KEY WORDS anticoagulation, catheterdirected therapy, catheter thrombolysis, fibrinolysis, IVC filter, mechanical circulatory support, pulmonary embolism, right ventricular failure, surgical embolectomy, thrombolysis



Go to http://www.acc.org/ jacc-journals-cme to take the CME/MOC/ECME quiz for this article.