JACC STATE-OF-THE-ART REVIEW

Acute Aortic Syndrome Revisited

JACC State-of-the-Art Review

Isidre Vilacosta, MD, PHD,^a J. Alberto San Román, MD, PHD,^{b,c} Roberto di Bartolomeo, MD, PHD,^d Kim Eagle, MD, PHD,^e Anthony L. Estrera, MD, PHD,^{f,g} Carlos Ferrera, MD, PHD,^a Shuichiro Kaji, MD, PHD,^h Christoph A. Nienaber, MD, PHD,ⁱ Vicenç Riambau, MD, PHD,^j Hans-Joachim Schäfers, MD, PHD,^k Francisco J. Serrano, MD, PHD,^a Jae-Kwan Song, MD, PHD,¹ Luis Maroto, MD, PHD^a

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to describe all available evidence on the distinctive features of a group of 4 life-threatening acute aortic pathologies gathered under the name of acute aortic syndrome (AAS). The epidemiology, diagnostic strategy, and management of these patients has been updated. The authors propose a new and simple diagnostic algorithm to support clinical decision making in cases of suspected AAS, thereby minimizing diagnostic delays, misdiagnoses, and unnecessary advanced imaging. AAS-related entities are reviewed, and a guideline to avoid imaging misinterpretation is provided. Centralization of patients with AAS in high-volume centers with high-volume surgeons is key to improving clinical outcomes. Thus, the role of multidisciplinary teams, an "aorta code" (streamlined emergent care pathway), and aortic centers in the management of these patients is boosted. A tailored patient treatment approach for each of these acute aortic entities is needed, and as such has been summarized. Finally, a set of prevention measures against AAS is discussed. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2021;78:2106-2125) © 2021 the American College of Cardiology Foundation. Published by Elsevier. All rights reserved.

"Clarify the past, diagnose the present, predict the future; such are the duties of a good doctor."

-Hippocrates

he term acute aortic syndrome (AAS), coined in 1998 (1) and fully described in 2001 (2), refers to a heterogeneous group of patients with a common set of signs and symptoms, the foremost of which is aortic pain. This syndrome embraces a cluster of 4 acute aortic conditions: classic dissection (CD), intramural hematoma (IHM), penetrating aortic ulcer (PAU), and incomplete dissection (ID) (3). In this document, we critically review the contemporary evidence on the distinctive features of these pathologic conditions and update the epidemiology, diagnostic strategy, and current management of these patients.

AAS CONSTITUENTS: DEFINITIONS AND PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

Currently, the histologic report of the diseased aortic wall should be performed according to the AECVP/ SCVP diagnostic criteria (4). The histopathologic



Listen to this manuscript's audio summary by Editor-in-Chief Dr Valentin Fuster on JACC.org. From the ^aInstituto Cardiovascular, Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain; ^bInstituto de Ciencias del Corazón, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain; ^cCentro de Investigación en Red de Enfermedades Cardiovasculares (CIBERCV), Madrid, Spain; ^dCardiac Surgery Unit, Policlinico St Orsola, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy; ^eCardiovascular Center, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA; ^fDepartment of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Surgery, McGovern Medical School, UTHealth, Houston, Texas, USA; ^gMemorial Hermann Heart and Vascular Institute. University of Texas, Houston, Texas, USA; ^hDepartment of Cardiovascular Institute, University of Texas, Houston, Texas, USA; ^bDepartment of Cardiovascular Institute, University of Texas, Houston, Texas, USA; ^bDepartment of Cardiovascular Institute, University of Texas, Houston, Texas, USA; ^bDepartment of Cardiovascular Institute, University of Texas, Houston, Texas, USA; ^bDepartment of Cardiovascular Institute, University of Texas, Houston, Texas, USA; ^bDepartment of Cardiovascular Medicine, Kansai Electric Power Hospital, Osaka, Japan; ⁱCardiology and Aortic Centre, The Royal Brompton and Harefield MHS Trust, London, United Kingdom; ⁱVascular Surgery Division, Cardiovascular Institute, Hospital Clínic of Barcelona, University of Barcelona, Spain; ^kKlinik für Thorax- und Herz-Gefäßchirurgie Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes, Homburg/Saar, Germany; and the ⁱDivision of Cardiology, Asan Medical Center Heart Institute, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea.

The authors attest they are in compliance with human studies committees and animal welfare regulations of the authors' institutions and Food and Drug Administration guidelines, including patient consent where appropriate. For more information, visit the Author Center.

Manuscript received September 10, 2021; accepted September 22, 2021.

HIGHLIGHTS

- AAS is a frequently misdiagnosed lifethreatening condition.
- A simple diagnostic algorithm can support clinical decision making and avoid misinterpretation of imaging findings.
- Centralized management in high-volume centers can improve clinical outcomes for patients with acute aortic syndrome.

spectrum of AAS provides information to elucidate the genesis and progression of these lesions (3,5). Their morphoanatomic characteristics are depicted in the **Central Illustration**.

From a surgical and prognostic standpoint, patients with AAS may be classified into 2 categories depending on the involvement (Stanford type A) or not (Stanford type B) of the ascending aorta. Non-A non-B AAS is an unusual condition where the lesion is confined to the aortic arch alone or the arch is involved by retrograde propagation of a lesion originating distal to the left subclavian artery without reaching the ascending aorta (6). Most recently, the Society for Vascular Surgery/Society of Thoracic Surgeons considers as type B AAS any aortic dissection with an entry tear originating distal to the ostium of the innominate artery (7). We admit that the term non-A non-B needed refinement in definition. However, we are not persuaded by this new classification as it underestimates the prognostic importance of ascending aortic involvement.

CD is defined as a separation of the aortic wall layers with an intimomedial tear (3). The most common hypothesis posits that an intimal tear results in the creation and propagation of a false lumen within the medial layer. CD typically exhibits the presence of a dissection flap, 2 aortic channels, and an entry tear (Central Illustration, Figure 1A) (3).

The most frequent histopathologic picture in CD is medial layer degeneration (8,9). Main degenerative findings are elastic fiber fragmentation and thinning out, and mucoid extracellular matrix accumulation (**Figure 1B**) (10). A remarkably thin intima and a dissection plane consistently located at the level of the vasa vasorum network in the outer media also have been documented (**Figure 1D**) (10,11). Connective tissue disorders and patients with aortic aneurysms have a more severe medial degeneration (8,9). Regardless, medial degeneration is considered the final common pathway of different causes of AAS (hypertension, Marfan syndrome, and so on). In addition, CD requires a "trigger" to create an entry site in the aortic wall. This trigger is presumed to be high blood pressure and flow producing aortic wall shear stress (12), but this remains to be fully elucidated. Little is known about the aortic wall shear stress patterns. Other agents like exertion or emotional distress may act as inciting events for AAS (13).

According to its pristine definition, IMH has been described as "dissection without intimal tear," namely, a noncommunicating type of dissection (Central Illustration) (3,14). Histologically, the hematoma generally extends within the media (Figure 1D) (3). When comparing CD and IMH at histology, the latter was strongly associated with atherosclerotic lesions (9). It is commonly held that CD arises from an intimal tear, whereas IMH results

from rupture of the vasa vasorum producing a secondary intramural hemorrhage (5). However, we think that a single mechanism may give rise to both entities in many cases. Accordingly, IMH would represent a CD with acutely thrombosed false lumen (15). Several studies emphasizing the high prevalence of tears or communicating lumen points in supposed cases of IMH support the hypothesis of a single mechanism common to both entities (16-18). The fact that intimal tears are not well detected by imaging techniques but can be identified at surgery (Figure 2D) (3,15,19) reinforces this view, particularly in type A IMH. Whatever the situation is, the debate about IMH pathophysiology should not shift the focus from its essential feature, which is that restricted flow within the aortic wall gives rise to its characteristic morphology and evolving behavior over time. IMH can evolve to spontaneous reabsorption or conversion to CD (3).

Ulceration of an atherosclerotic aortic plaque penetrating the internal elastic lamina into the media defines PAU (1). These focal lesions usually occur in the setting of severe and extensive atheromatous disease and are frequently located in the descending thoracic aorta (Central Illustration). A localized intramedial hemorrhage is commonly associated (3). This hemorrhage may propagate locally or, more rarely, may give rise to an aortic dissection; in this case, the entrance tear is the excavated area "crater" (Figure 1C). Wall calcification and inflammation are thought to hamper the progression of hemorrhage leading to a characteristically focal CD (3,20-22). PAU may also break through into the adventitia and lead to an aortic wall rupture or may form a pseudoaneurysm causing an aortic wall bulge (Figure 3) (3).

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AAS = acute aortic syndrome
CD = classic dissection
CT = computed tomography
IBP = intramural blood pool
ID = incomplete dissection
IHM = intramural hematoma
IRAD = International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection
PAU = penetrating aortic ulcer
TEE = transesophageal echocardiography
TEVAR = thoracic endovascular aortic repair
TTE = transthoracic echocardiography
ULP = ulcer-like projection





CENTRAL ILLUSTRATION Overview of Acute Aortic Syndrome Components and Their Main Morphologic



The clinical course of patients with PAU is variable; most patients are asymptomatic, but a few will present with an AAS (22,23).

ID has been discussed under several names such as "acute limited intimal tears" (24), "subtle or discrete" aortic dissection (25), and limited dissection (21), and it was well described as early as 1973 by Murray and Edwards (26). This term refers to those AAS cases in which there is laceration of the intima and subjacent media (dissection tear) without significant intramedial hematoma (3) (Central Illustration, Figure 4). The base of the lacerated area usually contains some amount of medial tissue and the adventitia (partialthickness tear). A localized and eccentric aortic wall bulge and subadventitial hematoma frequently coexist, and there is no associated false lumen (3). This variant of aortic dissection was classified as type III (intimal tear without hematoma) by Svensson et al (27), which subsequently received a definitive international endorsement (1,21). Histologically, medial degeneration is commonly encountered and occurs mostly in the ascending aorta (24). In contradistinction to ID, CD can be referred to as complete aortic dissection (3).

A unique feature of AAS is that these lesions may appear synchronously, that is, simultaneously in different aortic segments, or, more frequently, in a metachronous way, that is, one after another. Eventually, any of these 4 acute (presenting within 14 days of symptom onset) pathologic conditions may progress to aortic rupture, especially during the first week (28).

CURRENT EPIDEMIOLOGIC DATA ON AAS

Accurate data on the incidence and risk factors for AAS are limited (29-31). Hospital-based studies, retrospective case series such as the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD), and casecontrol studies, may underestimate both incidence and case fatality by incomplete inclusion of deaths before hospital admission, which might also bias assessment of risk factors and predictors of outcome



(32-34). Recently, large population-based cohort studies, have more precisely estimated the true incidence and risk factors of AAS (35-37).

According to a Swedish and British populationbased studies, the mean annual incidence of CD ranges from 6 to 7.2 per 100,000 patient-years (35,38). An American study based on residents of Olmstead County, Minnesota, found an incidence of 7.7 per 100,000 person-years for all acute aortic lesions, including CD, IMH, and PAU. The incidence of CD in that study was 4.4 per 100,000 person-years, whereas the incidence of PAU and IMH was lower (37). ID, a commonly overlooked lesion, represents approximately 5% of all patients with AAS (24, 27). Stanford type A classification is more frequent among CD and ID, whereas Stanford type B is more common among IMH and PAU (37).

The incidence of AAS is higher for men (nearly 2-fold) and increases with age (37,38). The mean age at diagnosis varies according to the type of AAS; it is lowest for CD and highest for PAU (37). Mean age of patients with CD ranges from 66 to 72 years, and women are older than men at presentation (32,35,37-40). Data from IRAD show that women with CD have a different clinical presentation and arrive later to the hospital and with a worse clinical status (coma and tamponade) than men (41). This might partly explain why, in different series and after ageadjusted analysis, women have a higher mortality rate than men and suffer prehospital death more often than men (35,38,41). A substantial proportion of patients (30%-50%) with type A CD die at home or before reaching the hospital (35,38,42). Thus, hospital-based databases underestimate the true



incidence of AAS. Type A CD in particular is underrepresented.

The number of patients with recognized AAS is growing. According to the largest national database in the United States, there has been an increase in hospitalizations for CD from 2012 to 2016, and in-hospital mortality (26.0%) has not changed over time (43).

THE ROLE OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAMS IN THE TREATMENT OF AAS: AORTA CENTERS, AORTA CODE, AND AORTA TEAM

The strong evidence of a volume-outcome relationship makes it necessary and justifiable to centralize acute aortic pathology treatment in "aorta centers" (high-volume surgical centers with focused expertise in aortic surgery) (44-46). The objectives are clear: to reduce early mortality, avoid reoperations, and improve long-term outcomes.

To address factors that delay AAS recognition and optimal management, a streamlined emergency care

pathway, the "aorta code," available at all times and activated from the emergency room of small hospitals, should be pursued. There are 3 fundamental reasons for organization of the aorta code: 1) to increase awareness and knowledge of AAS among emergency care providers to achieve an earlier diagnosis of AAS; 2) to ensure swift transfer of the patient to an aorta center to decrease the time from diagnosis to definitive treatment; and 3) to provide the optimal treatment by activation of a highly specialized aorta surgeons to improve clinical outcomes.

Standardized optimal care for AAS patients with the use of a formal protocol from the emergency room to the operating theatre is the goal (47,48). An "aorta team" is necessary from diagnosis to treatment and follow-up (49). A high level of expertise is needed from practitioners from several specialties, including clinical cardiologists, experts in cardiac imaging, cardiac surgeons, vascular surgeons, radiologists, vascular interventional radiologists, anesthesiologists, and others. The interdisciplinary team must



dissection flap and the entry tear (arrow) is detected by means of transesophageal echocardiography. (C) A dissection tear (asterisk) with significant intramedial hematoma was found at surgery.

coordinate seamlessly. Early discussion with the aorta team is essential to individualize the best treatment modality (open surgery, combined vascular and endovascular procedures, a full endovascular approach, or conservative management) in any patient (29,49). A structured surveillance of all patients must be performed at a dedicated aortic care clinic.

Aside from prevention, centralization of AAS care (high-volume surgeons in high-volume centers) offers the best opportunity to improve clinical outcomes (50-54). However, it must be clarified that best outcomes are mainly related to individual surgeon experience (51,54,55). Treating AAS patients at a highvolume center by low-volume surgeons is not optimal.

IMPROVED DIAGNOSIS OF PATIENTS WITH AAS: THE 3-STEP DIAGNOSTIC ALGORITHM

Among the most important diagnostic challenges of patients with AAS are the low prevalence, the frequently nonspecific clinical presentation, and the absence of specific biomarkers (56). Thus, the risk of misdiagnosis is high and the consequences serious. To circumvent this situation, AAS training sessions and continuing education programs at emergency departments and the use of a standard AAS diagnostic algorithm are key goals (47).

The latest guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of aortic diseases established rather dense diagnostic pathways and flowcharts for the assessment of patients with the suspicion of AAS (29,49). In addition, a set of critical issues on the use of diagnostic tests in patients with the suspicion of CD by the American College of Emergency Physicians was published in 2015 (57). The reality is that risk stratification and the testing threshold for AAS are not well established, and, at present, most emergency departments do not follow a dedicated algorithm for diagnosing AAS (58). We propose a straightforward 3-step diagnostic algorithm to identify most patients with AAS (3) (Figure 5).

FIRST STEP. The first step pertains to calculate the a priori probability of having an AAS, considering the risk markers of the 3 categories (predisposing



conditions, pain characteristics and findings on physical examination) described in the American Heart Association guidelines (49). To facilitate the detection of aortic dissection, a risk score was introduced in 2011 (59). For each category, 1 point can be awarded if at least 1 risk marker of the category applies. A score of 0 indicates a low risk for AAS; if the score is 1, the risk is intermediate; and if it is ≥ 2 , the risk of having an AAS is high. This pretest probability assessment is a Class I recommendation (29,49). An early application of the risk score increases the detection of patients with AAS (60). However, it has been shown that applying this score at the emergency department is not accurate enough (60,61). A 0 score does not exclude an AAS with certainty (60,61) and, above all, has a low specificity, especially for a score of ≥ 1 , resulting in unacceptably high false-positive rates and exposing patients to radiologic overtesting (61,62).

Among AAS risk factors, the most frequent is a long-lasting history of severe hypertension (34,36). In a large prospective study by Landenhed et al (36), hypertension was present in 86% of individuals who subsequently developed CD and was strongly associated with the incidence of CD. Surprisingly, hypertension does not appear as a high-risk predisposing condition in the Aortic Dissection Detection Risk Score (59). Adding this condition would increase the sensitivity of the score. Rapid recognition of so-called "aortic pain" and the detection of high-risk examination features are of paramount importance (63). Including aortic pain as a discriminator within the presentation of chest pain at the Manchester Triage System or similar triage systems can lead to early recognition of AAS (64). As one might expect, being lax with pain characteristics would decrease the specificity of the score. Likewise, a systematic physical examination would increase the score sensitivity. In summary, the cornerstones of a comprehensive assessment to evaluate the probability of having an AAS should consider the preexisting conditions of the patient, the characteristics of pain, and the findings at physical examination (29,49).

SECOND STEP. In-hospital evaluation of patients with chest pain establishes that, after clinical suspicion and physical examination, electrocardiography (ECG) and laboratory testing including troponins and p-dimers is the second step (65). Plasma p-dimers have a high sensitivity in diagnosing AAS, correlate significantly with the extension of the aortic lesion, and are higher in CD than in IMH (66). The higher the p-dimer value, the greater the likelihood that the patient has an AAS, particularly if >1,600 ng/mL (normal values: \leq 500 ng/mL) (67). Most importantly,



owing to its high negative predictive value, this test, when negative, consistently rules out the diagnosis of AAS (66). Thus, in patients with a low dissection risk score, applying D-dimer testing helps to stratify patients with the suspicion of AAS. It has already been shown that the specificity and accuracy of the Aortic Dissection Detection Risk Score has improved through combination with D-dimers (68,69). Raised levels of D-dimers cannot distinguish between AAS and pulmonary embolism but may prompt an urgent thoracic CT scan, allowing confirmation or exclusion of both entities (59,61,70).

Acute coronary syndrome is the most common misdiagnosis in patients with AAS (71). From a previously reported series, we identified a triad that strongly correlates with the diagnosis of AAS (46). In patients with aortic pain, the combination of a normal ECG + normal troponins + increased levels of p-dimers is a clear alerting pattern of AAS and makes it

TABLE 1 Imaging Findings That May Influence Clinical Decision Making
Involvement of the ascending aorta
Site of the entrance tear
Severe pericardial effusion/cardiac tamponade
Significant aortic regurgitation and mechanism
Signs of aortic rupture
Signs of end-organ ischemia or malperfusion

unlikely that the patient has an acute coronary syndrome (3,46). Troponin positivity does not absolutely rule out AAS. Increased troponin values in AAS may be secondary to involvement of a coronary artery by the dissection flap or due to myocardial ischemia exacerbated by acute aortic regurgitation or hypotension. Thus, increased troponin values should not preclude the need for definitive imaging if the clinical suspicion of AAS is high, particularly if D-dimers are elevated.

Chest radiographs are classically obtained in patients with acute chest pain and are usually helpful, but it is important to remember that a normal chest radiograph is quite frequent in patients with AAS and therefore does not exclude the presence of an AAS (3).

THIRD STEP. To reach a definitive diagnosis of AAS, aortic imaging, the third step, is mandatory (29,3,49,72). Computed tomography (CT), with its wide availability and rapid acquisition, is the imaging modality of choice in the emergency setting (72,73) (**Figure 6**). A CT scan of the entire aorta (from the cervical branches to the iliofemoral arteries) should be performed in all patients with a dissection risk score >1 and raised p-dimers, particularly when the troponin value is normal and there are no ECG changes. CT scanning should be performed with and without contrast. A noncontrast CT scan should



always be done to look for a rim of hyperattenuation around the aortic wall to avoid false negative cases in patients with IMH (72,73). ECG gating technology serves to avoid false positive cases due to movement artifacts of the aortic root and ascending aorta (74). Clinically relevant CT information is presented in **Table 1**.

Focused transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) in the emergency room may help to reach the diagnosis of AAS (75). In addition, TTE may contribute to the assessment of other relevant issues (pericardial effusion, aortic valve regurgitation, and ventricular function). Importantly, because TTE accuracy for AAS remains low, a normal TTE does not rule out an AAS (75). Some patients, such as those with ID in whom a subtle aortic contour irregularity may be missed (**Figure 4**) (19), may require other noninvasive imaging study. Transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) has excellent diagnostic accuracy in AAS (75). Thus, provided prompt availability and local expertise, TEE is an alternate diagnostic technique when CT is nondiagnostic. Although controversial, we advocate avoiding the systematic use of TEE in AAS, especially when the patient's condition is unstable. Currently, TEE is a fundamental complementary tool for aortic valve repair guiding during AAS surgery (49). At present, no practical role exits for magnetic resonance imaging as an initial diagnostic test in patients with AAS (73).

We recognize that the sometimes vague and overlapping symptoms of patients with AAS may be a diagnostic challenge to even the most astute of clinicians, and that this 3-step algorithm has not been prospectively validated. We do believe, however, that the correct diagnosis of AAS relies on maintaining a high degree of alertness as well as on the systematic application of a simple and dedicated algorithm.



Smoothly circumferential aortic wall thickening (**red asterisk**) in the axial CT image (**A**) and (**B**) its anatomic correlation from a patient with IgG4 aortitis. (**C and D**) Positron emission tomography/computed tomography from a patient with giant cell arteritis. (**C**) Quasicircular aortic wall enhancement and (**D**) intense ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucoseuptake are demonstrated. Ao = aorta; PA = pulmonary artery.

AAS-RELATED ENTITIES: GUIDELINES TO AVOID MISINTERPRETATION OF IMAGING FINDINGS

An understanding of the pathogenesis of the different constituents of AAS and precise knowledge of related entities are key to accurate interpretation of imaging findings. Proper recognition of acutely thrombosed CD, mural aortic thrombus, aortitis, ulcer-like projections (ULPs), and intramural blood pools (IBPs) is required to avoid imaging misinter-pretation (74).

ACUTELY THROMBOSED CD VS IMH. Both CD and IMH are a type of noncommunicating aortic dissection (no intravenous contrast material is identified within the aortic wall, in contrast to CD). When the entrance tear is small and the exit tear does not exist or has sealed over, false lumen thrombosis may ensue rapidly, and CT images resemble those of IMH

(**Central Illustration**). Combining axial and sagittal planes, a focal intimal contour alteration corresponding to a dissection tear will be well depicted in a thrombosed CD (**Figure 7**) (74). IMH has no apparent entrance tear, and if it does, it is microscopic. Distinction of both entities by means of CT is not always possible.

AORTITIS VS IMH. IMH appears as a crescentic (noncircumferential) aortic wall thickening with a smooth luminal surface. A hyperattenuated aortic wall contour is well appreciated on noncontrast CT images. Patients with aortitis may unfrequently simulate an AAS at presentation (76,77). Circumferential arterial wall thickening, and homogeneous wall enhancement are typical features of aortitis on contrast-enhanced CT (**Figure 8A**). However, this is not invariably the case (**Figure 8C**). Characteristically, positron emission tomography/CT can depict the inflammatory process (**Figure 8D**) (77).



MURAL THROMBUS VS IMH. Mural thrombus in a dilated aorta may appear as a crescentic wall thickening, usually with an irregular luminal surface, but it does not show high attenuation on noncontrast CT images. In addition, IMH displaces intimal calcifications inward, whereas wall calcifications are located along the outer border of the aorta in mural thrombosis (74).

PAU VS ULPs VS IBPs. PAU and ULPs are not equivalent terms. ULPs result from an intimal disruption in a segment with a dissecting hematoma and appear as small saccular areas of enhancement with wide mouths that protrude from the aortic lumen into the aortic wall (78). These lesions have also been reported in patients with CD with a thrombosed false lumen (79). ULPs can arise in any aortic segment and are associated with higher adverse aortic events (aneurysm formation, focal dissection, and rupture) (Figure 9) (79). Frequently, they are not accompanied by atherosclerotic lesions (calcified plaques) and represent true entrance tears of acutely thrombosed CD. In practice, this is a term that leads to confusion and that should be replaced by subtle intimal tear or focal intimal disruption (80).

PAUs are wide-mouth saccular areas classically associated with atheromatous plaques. They are usually accompanied by some degree of IMH and typically produce a remodeling of the aortic wall contour (**Figure 3**) (1,81). Most PAUs involve the descending aorta and rarely serve as an entrance tear to a focal dissection.

IBPs are small blood pools within an IMH. They can be identified as rounded areas of enhancement by means of CT or focal puddles of color Doppler by means of TEE. These blood pools are exclusively detected in the descending aorta and communicate with the true aortic lumen through the ostia of the intercostal and lumbar arteries that have been severed by the dissecting hematoma (2,82). These pools have a pinhole communication with the aortic lumen (**Figure 10**) whereas PAUs and ULPs tend to have a broad communication mouth secondary to an intimal disruption (74). Identification of IBPs within an IMH is not an ominous sign. Close monitoring with CT imaging should be recommended (83).

In summary, the lesion shape and interface with the aortic lumen, the presence of hyperattenuation on noncontrast CT images, the coexistence of atherosclerosis and the location of calcium, and the relationship of blood areas of enhancement to the aortic lumen aid in distinguishing these entities (Figure 11) (74). We admit that the CT images of a particular patient might occasionally be difficult to interpret.

MANAGEMENT OF PATIENTS WITH AAS: CURRENT STATUS

In the hyperacute phase (first 24 hours), these patients should be monitored in the cardiovascular care unit. The most important aspects of treatment are hypertension control (goal: 100-120 mm Hg), pulse rate control (goal: ≤ 60 beats/min), and pain relief. Intravenous beta-blockers should be used



(A to F) Axial computed tomography images at different aortic levels showing intramural blood pools (arrows). In B, 3-dimensional CT image shows the ostium of an intercostal artery arising from the aortic lumen. Ao = aorta; LA = left atrium.

simultaneously with combined antihypertensive therapy to rapidly achieve blood pressure and pulse rate control. Sedatives should always be considered. **TYPE A CD.** As a rule, patients with type A AAS (**Figure 6**) are considered for emergency open surgical repair (29,30,49). The operative technique depends on the affected aortic segment and patient's condition. The goals of surgery are to prevent aortic rupture and death and correct aortic regurgitation and any malperfusion. In most cases, this can be achieved by ascending aorta and hemiarch replacement and aortic valve resuspension.

Aortic root approach. When the aortic root is involved or in the presence of connective tissue diseases, root replacement with a composite valve graft (mechanical or biological) to replace the aortic valve, aortic root, and ascending aorta is advised. In addition, the coronary arteries are reimplanted into the graft. This technique, a modified version of the classic Bentall-de Bono procedure, is the standard treatment option in type A CD involving the aortic root (84). When the sinuses of Valsalva are spared by the disease, an interposition graft is usually sufficient; concurrently, the aortic valve may be replaced or not.

Valve-sparing aortic root operations are a valid alternative to a composite valve tube in selected patients with favorable valve anatomy in the hands of experienced surgeons. A detailed discussion of the different surgical procedures in AAS is beyond the scope of this review.

Total arch or hemiarch. Hemiarch replacement with an open distal anastomosis (proximal arch repair without involving the arch vessels) remains the standard of care for type A AAS. This operation is currently favored for its simplicity and reproducibility, because it is thought to carry a lower operative risk than other extended arch-replacement procedures. However, several groups, with the aim to avoid late reinterventions, recommend total replacement of the aortic arch in selected patients (in the presence of dilated arch, extensive arch tears, or branch vessel dissection) (85,86). The "frozen elephant trunk" technique, using a hybrid prosthesis, allows the repair of the aortic arch and proximal descending aorta, permits the sealing of reentry tears in the



descending aorta, redirects blood flow to the thoracoabdominal aorta true lumen, and promotes false lumen thrombosis (Figure 12) (87).

Aortic specialists perform more aortic root procedures and more extensive replacement of the dissected aorta, which may in part explain improved long-term survival (88). We advocate a tailored approach based on surgeon and center experience, and the patient's clinical profile and hemodynamic status (89). Thus, in a young hemodynamically stable patient, an extended aortic arch repair can be offered by an expert surgeon, whereas in an elderly patient in shock, a lifesaving hemiarch procedure may be adequate.

TYPE B CD. Best medical therapy has been traditionally adopted as the strategy of choice for uncomplicated type B CD (29). According to the European guidelines (European Society of Cardiology and European Society for Vascular Surgery), thoracic endovascular aortic repair (TEVAR) is the established treatment of choice for complicated type B CD (29,30). Main complications of type B CD are listed in **Table 2** (29,30). Selective repair of uncomplicated cases may also be undertaken to prevent late aortic complications (29,30,90), but its prognostic benefit is not yet

clearly proven. High risk radiologic and clinical features of developing early adverse events include a total aortic diameter >44 mm, a false lumen diameter >22 mm, large proximal entrance tears, refractory pain, and refractory hypertension (91). The appropriate timing of TEVAR in uncomplicated type B CD remains controversial. Intervention performed in the subacute phase has shown acceptable aortic remodeling with a low perioperative complications rate (90). It is debatable whether the use of endovascular devices in patients with connective tissue disorders is appropriate (92). However, in the emergency setting, TEVAR may still be considered (29,30). Open repair is an alternative when endovascular therapy fails or is contraindicated (30).

Patients with retrograde extension from the entrance tear in the descending aorta into the ascending aorta with a completely thrombosed false lumen in the ascending aorta can be initially managed conservatively (93). However, many patients with non-A non-B CD have a complicated disease course (6). In this clinical scenario, endovascular techniques, open surgery (frozen elephant trunk), or hybrid repair may provide good short-term results (44,94).



Incomplete dissection. When "limited" aortic dissection involves the ascending aorta, emergency surgery is indicated as for type A CD (19,22).

TYPE A IMH. There has been continuing debate on the best treatment strategy for type A IMH. Western guidelines recommend urgent surgery (29,49), whereas Asian guidelines claim that conservative medical treatment is possible and that combining medical therapy and timely operation results in favorable clinical outcomes (95,96). The fact is that the mortality of patients with type A IMH is lower than that of CD (97, 98). Patients with type A IMH are less likely to present with aortic regurgitation or malperfusion syndrome than patients with type A CD. In addition, some authors have shown favorable outcomes after deferring (beyond 72 hours) intervention in stable patients (99).

Identification of predictors of poor outcome in the emergency room is of paramount importance. According to the Japanese Circulation Society guidelines, the detection of an ULP in type A IMH, is considered to be a surgical indication (100). Most, if not all, of these patients represent type A CD with acutely thrombosed false lumen (93). Thus, an adverse outcome should be expected in this subgroup (101). Other accepted risk factors for clinical events in type A IMH are presented in **Table 3** (95,96). Consequently, we advocate for initial medical treatment with a "wait-and-watch" strategy only in patients with type A IMH without risk factors of fatal events (absence of ULPs and aortic diameter <50 mm) and hemodynamically stable, especially in elderly patients and those with significant comorbidities. This has been shown to be a reasonable option (29,102). All other patients should undergo timely surgery (**Figure 13**).

TYPE B IMH. Medical management is the initial approach (29,30). The subgroup of patients with ULPs should be followed closely because the risk of developing complications is high (79,103). Indications for TEVAR are expansion of the IMH, progressive aortic dilation, periaortic hematoma, and the appearance of a localized CD (104). D-Dimer levels are useful in predicting the status of the false lumen, so together with CT, they are a guide for managing these patients (104,105) (**Figure 13**).

PAUS. Indications and the choice of treatment of PAUs, with or without associated IMH, are similar to those for type B IMH (2). TEVAR should be considered for symptomatic, complicated, or large PAUs (30,106).

TABLE 2 Main Complications in Type B Acute Aortic Syndrome
Hemodynamic instability (hypotension-shock)
Signs of rapid aortic expansion
Malperfusion syndrome
Signs of aortic rupture or impending rupture (periaortic hematoma)

It should be emphasized that AAS represents a lifelong condition, potentially involving the entire aorta. Therefore, close clinical, serologic (D-dimers), and imaging surveillance to detect early warning signs preceding clinical complications is warranted.

PREVENTION OF AAS

Preventive measures to identify subjects at risk are necessary and include collecting an in-depth family history, preventive surgery, control of hypertension, and medical therapy.

Familial aggregation of some aortic conditions is now well recognized (107,108). A family history of aortic dissection is a strong risk factor for AAS with or without syndromes related to aortic disease. Both genetic and environmental factors contribute to the overall risk of AAS, so routine imaging and genetic screening of individuals with a family history of AAS and aortic aneurysm seems to be advisable. Close surveillance and strict control of risk factors or even preventive aortic replacement in high-risk population might decrease the frequency of AAS.

Aortic aneurysm is a known risk factor for AAS (29). Accordingly, professional societies' guidelines provide recommendations to prophylactically repair these patients' aortas, mainly based on aneurysm diameter (29,30,49). However, their efficacy in reducing the incidence of AAS at a population scale is unknown. Over time, the prevalence of aortic aneurysms has increased, as has the rate of surgical repair (33,109), and yet interventions for AAS have been increasing in parallel (43,110).

Because many type A CDs occur with ascending aortic diameters <55 mm (34), guidelines recommendations seem to be futile or too conservative. According to the available evidence, the probability of having an AAS with a diameter >55 mm is around 20% and <1 in a million if the diameter is smaller (34). Choosing a cutoff of 50 mm or even less would surely avoid several AASs but with the trade-off of the morbidity and mortality associated with ascending aortic surgery. In addition, the GenTAC (Genetically Triggered Thoracic Aortic Aneurysms and Cardiovascular Conditions) registry, showed that women suffered dissection at smaller aortic diameters than men but at similar aortic sizes after controlling for body surface area (111). Because current guidelines are based on aortic diameters, it may be speculated that women are being undertreated owing to their frequently smaller body sizes. In any case, the size criterion alone is not accurate enough to determine when to intervene an aortic aneurysm.

History of hypertension has been found to be an independent predictor of type A CD (34). Therefore, blood pressure control is of paramount importance. Raised awareness of blood pressure seems to be necessary, as one-half of the worldwide population with hypertension is unaware of it (112). The fact is that many patients are hypertensive but AAS is rare, so there is an urgent need to understand the ultimate mechanism that precipitates AAS. Translational research and advances in genomics may also help in predicting future risk of AAS.

Stabilizing aortic wall therapies, such as betablockers or angiotensin receptor blockers, should be used at earlier stages of the disease process, with the intention of preempting progression of the aneurysm (113). Whether these or other drugs decrease the probability of AAS in patients without connective tissue disorders, and with or without hypertension, is far from clear and should be a subject of research. Population-based studies have shown that the use of fluoroquinolones is associated with an increased risk of CD and aortic aneurysm (114). In addition, these patients are at risk of adverse outcomes when exposed to this type of antibiotics (112). Thus, if there is a therapeutic alternative, fluoroquinolones should be avoided.

CONCLUSIONS

The past 20 years of progress in recognizing and treating patients with AAS have provided us with basic insights in the management of acute aortic pathology, but have also revealed many gaps in knowledge. We look ahead to emerging technologies to further enhance our knowledge on aortic wall

TABLE 3 Risk Factors for Poor Outcome in Type A Intramural Hematoma
Existence of ulcer-like projection
Aortic diameter of \geq 50 mm
Rapid enlargement of the aortic diameter
Hematoma thickness of $\geq 11 \text{ mm}$
Moderate-severe pericardial effusion/cardiac tamponade
Significant pleural effusion
Periaortic hematoma/signs of impending rupture
Significant aortic regurgitation
Hemodynamic instability (hypotension-shock)
Refractory pain
Malperfusion syndrome



architecture and functional properties. Specific AAS biomarkers are desperately needed to detect the disease at its earliest stage. Centers for aortic surgery and aorta codes should be promoted. Additional studies are necessary to outline the course and treatment of patients with the less common variants of AAS. Prospective multicenter clinical trials and more realistically compulsory registries are necessary to test the efficacy of preventive interventions in the setting of aortic conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS The authors thank Christian Bengoa for his help in preparing the manuscript and the Radiology and Pathology Departments of the Hospital Clínico San Carlos for their continuous support and dedication to aortic pathology throughout all these years.

FUNDING SUPPORT AND AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

The authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr Isidre Vilacosta, Instituto Cardiovascular. Hospital Clínico San Carlos, C/Profesor Martín Lagos S/N, 28040, Madrid, Spain. E-mail: i.vilacosta@gmail.com. Twitter: @keaglemd, @cardio_red1, @DrFerreraD.

REFERENCES

 Vilacosta I, San Román JA, Aragoncillo P, et al. Penetrating atherosclerotic aortic ulcer: documentation by transesophageal echocardiography. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1998;32:83-89.

2. Vilacosta I, San Román JA. Acute aortic syndrome. *Heart.* 2001;85:365-368.

3. Vilacosta I, Aragoncillo P, Cañadas V, San Román JA, Ferreirós J, Rodríguez E. Acute aortic syndrome: a new look at an old conundrum. *Heart*. 2009;95:1130-1139.

4. Halushka MK, Angelini A, Bartoloni G, et al. Consensus statement on surgical pathology of the aorta from the Society for Cardiovascular Pathology and the Association for European Cardiovascular Pathology: II. Noninflammatory degenerative diseases—nomenclature and diagnostic criteria. *Cardiovasc Pathol*. 2016;25:247-257.

5. Macura KJ, Corl FM, Fishman EK, Bluemke DA. Pathogenesis in acute aortic syndromes: aortic dissection, intramural hematoma, and penetrating atherosclerotic aortic ulcer. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2003;181:309–316.

6. Carino D, Singh M, Molardi A, et al. Non-A non-B aortic dissection: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2018;55:653-659. **7.** Lombardi JV, Hughes GC, Appoo JJ, et al. Society for Vascular Surgery (SVS) and Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) reporting standards for type B aortic dissections. *J Vasc Surg.* 2020;71: 723-747.

8. Homme JL, Aubry MC, Edwards WD, et al. Surgical pathology of the ascending aorta: a clinicopathologic study of 513 cases. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2006;30:1159-1168.

9. Leone O, Pacini D, Foà A, et al. Redefining the histopathologic profile of acute aortic syndromes: clinical and prognostic implications. *J Thorac Car-diovasc Surg.* 2018;156:1776–1785.

10. Grewal N, Velders BJJ, Gittenberger-de Groot AC, et al. A systematic histopathologic evaluation of type-A aortic dissections implies a uniform multiple-hit causation. *J Cardiovasc Dev Dis.* 2021;8:12. doi.org/10.3390/jcdd8020012.

11. Osada H, Kyogoku M, Ishidou M, Morishima M, Nakajima H. Aortic dissection in the outer third of the media: what is the role of the vasa vasorum in the triggering process? *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2013;43:e82-e88.

12. Akutsu K. Etiology of aortic dissection. *Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2019;67:271–276.

13. Hatzaras IS, Bible JE, Koullias GJ, et al. Role of exertion or emotion as inciting events for acute aortic dissection. *Am J Cardiol.* 2007;100:1470-1472.

14. Vilacosta I, San Román JA, Ferreirós J, et al. Natural history and serial morphology of aortic intramural hematoma: a novel variant of aortic dissection. *Am Heart J.* 1997;134:495-507.

15. Uchida K, Imoto K, Karube N, et al. Intramural haematoma should be referred to as thrombosed-type aortic dissection. *Eur J Cardiothoracic Surg.* 2013:44:366-369.

16. Park KH, Lim C, Choi JH, et al. Prevalence of aortic intimal defect in surgically treated acute type A intramural hematoma. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2008;86:1494–1500.

17. Chao CP, Walker TG, Kalva SP. Natural history and CT appearances of aortic intramural hematoma. *Radiographics*. 2009;29:791–804.

18. Lansman SL, McCullough JN, Nguyen KH, et al. Subtypes of acute aortic dissection. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1999;67:1975-1978.

19. Berdat PA, Carret T. Aortic dissection limited to the ascending aorta mimicking intramural hematoma. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 1999;15:108-109.

20. Lansman SL, Saunders PC, Malekan R, Spielvogel D. Acute aortic syndrome. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2010;140:S92–S97.

21. Yoo SM, Lee HY, White CS. MDCT evaluation of acute aortic syndrome. *Radiol Clin North Am.* 2010;48:67–83.

22. Oderich GS, KärKKäinen JM, Reed NR, Tenorio ER, Sandri GA. Penetrating aortic ulcer and intramural hematoma. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* 2019;42:321-334.

23. Nathan DP, Boonn W, Lai E, et al. Presentation, complications, and natural history of penetrating atherosclerotic ulcer disease. *J Vasc Surg.* 2012;55: 10–15.

24. Chin AS, Willemink MJ, Kino A, et al. Acute limited intimal tears of the thoracic aorta. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;71:2773-2785.

25. Chirillo F, Salvador L, Bacchion F, Grisolia EF, Valfrè C, Olivari Z. Clinical and anatomical characteristics of subtle-discrete dissection of the ascending aorta. *Am J Cardiol.* 2007;100:1314-1319.

26. Murray CA, Edwards JE. Spontaneous laceration of the ascending aorta. *Circulation*. 1973;47: 848-859.

27. Svensson LG, Labib SB, Eisenhauer AC, Butterly JR. Intimal tear without hematoma: an

important variant of aortic dissection that can elude current imaging techniques. *Circulation*. 1999;99:1331-1336.

28. Booher AM, Isselbacher EM, Nienaber CA, et al. The IRAD classification system for characterizing survival after aortic dissection. *Am J Med.* 2013;126, 730.e19-24.

29. Erbel R, Aboyans V, Boileau C, et al. 2014 ESC guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of aortic diseases: document covering acute and chronic aortic diseases of the thoracic and abdominal aorta of the adult. The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Aortic Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:2873-2926.

30. Riambau V, Bockler D, Brunkwall J, et al. Editor's choice—management of descending thoracic aorta diseases: clinical practice guidelines of the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2017;53:4–52.

31. Timmis A, Townsend N, Gale CP, et al. European Society of Cardiology: cardiovascular disease statistics 2019. *Eur Heart J.* 2020;41:12–85.

32. Clouse WD, Hallett JW Jr, Schaff HV, et al. Acute aortic dissection: population-based incidence compared with degenerative aortic aneurysm rupture. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2004;79:176-180.

33. Olsson C, Thelin S, Ståhle E, Ekbom A, Granath F. Thoracic aortic aneurysm and dissection: increasing prevalence and improved outcomes reported in a nationwide population-based study of more than 14000 cases from 1987 to 2002. *Circulation.* 2006;114:2611–2618.

34. Pape LA, Tsai TT, Isselbacher EM, et al. Aortic diameter ≥5.5 cm is not a good predictor of type A aortic dissection: observations from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD). *Circulation*. 2007;116:1120-1127.

35. Howard DPJ, Banerjee A, Fairhead JF, Perkins J, Silver LE, Rothwell PM. Populationbased study of incidence and outcome of acute aortic dissection and pre-morbid risk-factor control: 10-year results from the Oxford Vascular Study. *Circulation*. 2013;127:2031-2037.

36. Landenhed M, Engström G, Gottsäter A, et al. Risk profiles for aortic dissection and ruptured or surgically treated aneurysms: a prospective cohort study. J Am Heart Assoc. 2015;4(1):e001513.

37. DeMartino RR, Sen I, Huang Y, et al. Population-based assessment of the incidence of aortic dissection, intramural hematoma, and penetrating ulcer, and its associated mortality from 1995 to 2015. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2018;11:1-12.

38. Smedberg C, Steuer J, Leander K, Hultgren R. Sex differences and temporal trends in aortic dissection: a population-based study of incidence, treatment strategies, and outcome in Swedish patients during 15 years. *Eur Heart J.* 2020;41: 2430-2438.

39. Melvinsdottir IH, Lund SH, Agnarsson BA, Sigvaldason K, Gudbjartsson T, Geirsson A. The incidence and mortality of acute thoracic aortic dissection: results from a whole nation study. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2016;50:1111–1117.

40. McClure RS, Brogly SB, Lajkosz K, Payne D, Hall SF, Johnson AP. Epidemiology and

management of thoracic aortic dissections and thoracic aortic aneurysms in Ontario, Canada: a population-based study. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2018;155:2254–2264.

41. Nienaber CA, Fattori R, Mehta RH, et al. Gender-related differences in acute aortic dissection. *Circulation*. 2004;109:3014–3021.

42. Pál D, Szilágyi B, Berczeli M, et al. Ruptured aortic aneurysm and dissection related death: an autopsy database analysis. *Pathol Oncol Res.* 2020;26:2391-2399.

43. Elbadawi A, Elgendy IY, Jiménez E, et al. Trends and outcomes of elective thoracic aortic repair and acute thoracic aortic syndromes in the United States. *Am J Med.* 2021;134:902-909.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2021.01.021

44. Czearny M, Schmidli j, Adler S, et al. Current options and recommendations for the treatment of thoracic aortic pathologies involving the aortic arch: an expert consensus document of the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic surgery (EACTS) and the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2019;55: 133-162.

45. Andersen ND, Ganapathi AM, Hanna JM, Williams JB, Gaca JG, Hughes GC. Outcomes of acute type A dissection repair before and after implementation of a multidisciplinary thoracic aortic surgery program. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63:1796–1803.

46. Ferrera C, Vilacosta I, Busca P, Martínez AM, Serrano FJ, Maroto LC. Código Aorta: proyecto piloto de una red asistencial para la atención al paciente con síndrome aórtico agudo. *Rev Esp Cardiol*. Published online July 23, 2021. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.recesp.2021.06.025

47. Harris KM, Strauss CE, Duval S, et al. Multidisciplinary standardized care for acute aortic dissection. Design and initial outcomes of a regional care model. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2010;3:424–430.

48. Vaja R, Talukder S, Norkunas M, et al. Impact of a streamlined rotational system for the management of acute aortic syndrome: sharing is caring. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2019;55:984–989.

49. Hiratzka LF, Bakris GL, Beckman JA, et al. 2010 guidelines for the diagnosis and management of patients with thoracic aortic disease. A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, American College of Radiology, American Stroke Association, Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Interventional Radiology, Society of Thoracic Surgeons, and Society for Vascular Medicine. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;55:e27–e129.

50. Chikwe J, Cavallaro P, Itagaki S, Seigerman M, DiLuozzo G, Adams DH. National outcomes in acute aortic dissection: influence of surgeon and institutional volume on operative mortality. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2013;95:1563–1569.

51. Andersen ND, Benrashid E, Ross AK, et al. The utility of the aortic dissection team: outcomes and insights after a decade of experience. *Ann Car-diothorac Surg.* 2016;5:194–201.

52. Pape LA, Awais M, Woznicki EM, et al. Presentation, diagnosis, and outcomes of acute aortic dissection: 17-year trends from the International registry of acute aortic dissection. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2015;66:350-358.

53. Bashir M, Harky A, Fok M, et al. Acute type A aortic dissection in the United Kingdom: surgeon volume-outcome relation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2017;154:398-406.

54. Umana-Pizano JB, Nissen AP, Sandhu HK, et al. Acute type A dissection repair by high-volume vs low-volume surgeons at a high-volume aortic center. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2019;108: 1330-1337.

55. Khan H, Hussain A, Chaubey S, et al. Acute aortic dissection type A: impact of aortic specialists on short and long term outcomes. *J Card Surg.* 2021;36:952-958.

56. Salmasi MY, Al-Saadi N, Hartley P, et al. The risk of misdiagnosis in acute thoracic aortic dissection: a review of current guidelines. *Heart*. 2020;106:885-891.

57. Diercks DB, Promes SB, Schuur JD, et al. Clinical policy: critical issues in the evaluation and management of adult patients with suspected acute nontraumatic thoracic aortic dissection. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2015;65:32–42.

58. Salmasi MY, Hartley P, Hussein M, et al. Diagnosis and management of acute type-A aortic dissection in emergency departments: results of a UK national survey. *Int J Cardiol.* 2020;300:50– 59.

59. Rogers AM, Hermann LK, Booher AM, et al. Sensitivity of the Aortic Dissection Detection Risk Score, a novel guideline-based tool for identification of acute aortic dissection at presentation. Results from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection. *Circulation*. 2011;123:2213-2218.

60. Zaschke L, Habazettl H, Thurau J, et al. Acute type A aortic dissection: Aortic Dissection Detection Risk Score in emergency care—surgical delay because of initial misdiagnosis. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care*. 2020;9(3 suppl):540–547.

61. Nazerian P, Giachino F, Vanni S, et al. Diagnostic performance of the Aortic Dissection Detection Risk Score in patients with suspected acute aortic dissection. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care*. 2014;3:373-381.

62. Hill JM, Murphy TG, Fermann GJ. Aortic Dissection Detection Risk Score: a clinical decision rule that needs some parenting. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2019;26:695–697.

63. Sullivan PR, Wolfson AB, Leckey RD, Burke JL. Diagnosis of acute thoracic aortic dissection in the emergency department. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2000;18:46–50.

64. Healthcare Safety Investigation Branch. Delayed recognition of acute aortic dissection. January 23, 2020. Available at: https://www.hsib. org.uk/investigations-and-reports/delayedrecognition-of-acute-aortic-dissection/.

65. Zuin G, Parato VM, Groff P, et al. ANMCO-SIMEU consensus document: in-hospital management of patients presenting with chest pain. Eur Heart J. 2017;19:D212-D228. **66.** Sodeck G, Domanovits H, Schillinger M, et al. d-Dimer in ruling out acute aortic dissection: a systematic review and prospective cohort study. *Eur Heart J.* 2007;24:3067-3075.

67. Suzuki T, Distante A, Zizza A, et al. Diagnosis of acute aortic dissection by d-dimer: the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection substudy on biomarkers (IRAD-Bio) experience. *Circulation.* 2009;119:2702-2707.

68. Nazerian P, Mueller C, Soeiro AM, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of the Aortic Dissection Detection Risk Score plus d-dimer for acute aortic syndromes: the ADvISED prospective multicenter study. *Circulation*. 2018;137:250–258.

69. Bima P, Pivetta E, Nazerian P, et al. Systematic review of aortic dissection detection risk score plus d-dimer for diagnostic rule-out of suspected acute aortic syndromes. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2020;27:1013-1027.

70. Kim G, Natcheva H. Imaging of cardiovascular thoracic emergencies. Acute aortic syndrome and pulmonary embolism. *Radiol Clin North Am.* 2019;57:787-794.

71. Harris KM, Strauss CE, Eagle KA, et al. Correlates of delayed recognition and treatment of acute type A aortic dissection: the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD). *Circulation*. 2011;124:1911–1918.

72. Vardhanabhuti V, Nicol E, Morgan-Hughes G, et al. Recommendations for accurate CT diagnosis of suspected acute aortic syndrome (AAS)—on behalf of the British Society of Cardiovascular Imaging (BSCI)/British Society of Cardiovascular CT (BSCCT). *Br J Radiol.* 2016;89(1061): 20150705.

73. Murillo H, Molvin L, Chin AS, Fleischmann D. Aortic dissection and other acute aortic syndromes: diagnostic imaging findings from acute to chronic longitudinal progression. *Radiographics*. 2021;41:425-446.

74. Ko JP, Goldstein JM, Latson LA Jr, et al. Chest CT angiography for acute aortic pathologic conditions: pearls and pitfalls. *Radiographics*. 2021;41: 399–424.

75. Bossone E, LaBounty TM, Eagle KA. Acute aortic syndromes: diagnosis and management, an update. *Eur Heart J.* 2018;39:739-749.

76. Shilagani C, Lansman S, Gilet A, Flusberg M. IgG4 aortitis of the ascending thoracic aorta: a case report and literature review. *J Radiol Case Rep.* 2021;15:1–9.

77. Pérez-García CN, Olmos C, Vivas D, et al. IgG4aortitis among thoracic aortic aneurysms. *Heart*. 2019;105:1583-1589.

78. Sueyoshi E, Matsuoka Y, Sakamoto I, Uetani M, Hayashi K, Narimatsu M. Fate of intramural hematoma of the aorta: CT evaluation. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 1997;21:931–938.

79. Kitai T, Kaji S, Yamamuro A, et al. Impact of new development of ulcer-like projection on clinical outcomes in patients with type B aortic dissection with closed and thrombosed false lumen. *Circulation*. 2010;122:S74–S80.

80. Moral S, Cuéllar H, Avegliano G, et al. Clinical implications of focal intimal disruption in patients

with type B intramural hematoma. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;69:28-39.

81. Wada H, Sakata N, Tashiro T. Clinicopathological study on penetrating atherosclerotic ulcers and aortic dissection: distinct pattern of development of initial event. *Heart Vessels.* 2016;31:1855-1861.

82. Wu M-T, Wang Y-C, Huang Y-L, et al. Intramural blood pools accompanying aortic intramural hematoma: CT appearance and natural course. *Radiology*. 2011;258:705–713.

83. Park G-M, Ahn J-M, Kim D-H, et al. Distal aortic intramural hematoma: clinical importance of focal contrast enhancement on CT images. *Radiology*. 2011;259:100-108.

84. Khachatryan Z, Leontyev S, Magomedov K, et al. Management of aortic root in type A dissection: Bentall approach. *J Card Surg.* 2022;36:1779-1785.

85. Norton EL, Wu X, Kim KM, et al. Is hemiarch replacement adequate in acute type A aortic dissection repair in patients with arch branch vessel dissection without cerebral malperfusion? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Sura*. 2021;161:873–884.e2.

86. Norton EI, Wu X, Farhat L, et al. Dissection of arch branches alone an indication for aggressive arch management in type A dissection? *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2019;109:487-494.

87. di Bartolomeo R, Pacini D, Savini C, et al. Complex thoracic aortic disease: single-stage procedure with the frozen elephant trunk technique. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2010;140:S81-S85.

88. Khan H, Hussain A, Chaubey S, Sameh M, Salter I, Deshpande R, et al. Acute aortic dissection type A: impact of aortic specialists on short and long term outcomes. *J Card Surg.* 2021;36:952-958.

89. Poon SS, Theologou T, Harrington D, Kuduvalli M, Oo A, Field M. Hemiarch versus total aortic arch replacement in acute type A dissection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Cardiothorac Surg.* 2016;5:156–173.

90. Nienaber CA, Kische S, Rousseau H, et al, INSTEAD-XL Trial. Endovascular repair of type B aortic dissection: long-term results of the randomized investigation of stent grafts in aortic dissection trial. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2013;6:407-416.

91. Ray HM, Durham CA, Ocazionez D, et al. Predictors of intervention and mortality in patients with uncomplicated acute type B aortic dissection. *J Vasc Surg.* 2016;64:1560–1568.

92. Waterman AL, Feezor RJ, Lee WA, et al. Endovascular treatment of acute and chronic aortic pathology in patients with Marfan syndrome. *J Vasc Surg.* 2012;55:1234-1240.

93. Sadamatsu K, Takase S, Sagara S, et al. Initial medical management in acute type A aortic dissection patients with a thrombosed false lumen in the ascending aorta combining intramural hematoma and retrograde dissection from the descending to the ascending aorta. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care*. 2020;9(3 suppl 1):S13-S20. https://doi.org/10.1177/2048872618777724

94. Kreibich M, Siepe M, Berger T, et al. The frozen elephant trunk technique for the treatment of type B and type non-A non-B aortic dissection. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2021;61:107-113.

95. Kitai T, Kaji S, Yamamuro A, et al. Clinical outcomes of medical therapy and timely operation in initially diagnosed type A aortic intramural hematoma: a 20-year experience. *Circulation.* 2009;120:5292-5298.

96. Song JK, Yim JH, Ahn JM, et al. Outcomes of patients with acute type A aortic intramural hematoma. *Circulation*. 2009;120:2046–2052.

97. Harris KM, Braverman AC, Eagle KA, et al. Acute aortic intramural hematoma: an analysis from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection. *Circulation.* 2012;126:S91-S96.

98. Ahn J-M, Kim H, Kwon O, et al. Differential clinical features and long-term prognosis of acute aortic syndrome according to disease entity. *Eur Heart J.* 2019;40:2727-2736.

99. Estrera A, Miller C III, Lee T-Y, et al. Acute type A intramural hematoma. Analysis of current management strategy. *Circulation*. 2009;120: \$287-5291.

100. JCS Joint Working Group. Guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of aortic aneurysm and aortic dissection (JCS 2011): digest version. *Circ J*. 2013;77:789-828.

101. Kageyama S, Mitake H, Nakajima A, et al. A novel risk score on admission for predicting death or need for surgery in patients with acute type A intramural hematoma receiving medical therapy. *Heart and Vessels.* 2020;35:1164-1170. **102.** Kitamura T, Torii S, Miyamoto T, et al. Watchand-wait strategy for type A intramural haematoma and acute aortic dissection with thrombosed false lumen of the ascending aorta: a Japanese single-centre experience. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2020;58:590–597.

103. Kitai T, Kaji S, Yamamuro A, et al. Detection of intimal defect by 64-row multidetector computed tomography in patients with acute aortic intramural hematoma. *Circulation*. 2011;124:S174–S178.

104. Ferrera C, Vilacosta I, Cabeza B, et al. Diagnosing aortic intramural hematoma: current perspectives. *Vasc Health Risk Manag.* 2020;16:203-213.

105. Ferrera C, Vilacosta I, Gómez-Polo JC, et al. Evolution and prognosis of intramural aortic hematoma. Insights from a midterm cohort study. *Int J Cardiol.* 2017;249:410–413.

106. Gifford SM, Duncan AA, Greiten LE, et al. The natural history and outcomes for thoracic and abdominal penetrating aortic ulcers. *J Vasc Surg.* 2016;63:1182–1188.

107. Chen S-W, Kuo C-F, Huang Y-T, et al. Association of family history with incidence and outcomes of aortic dissection. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;76:1181–1192.

108. Raunsø J, Song RJ, Vasan RS, et al. Familial clustering of aortic size, aneurysms, and dissections in the community. *Circulation*. 2020;142: 920–928.

109. Wang GJ, Jackson BM, Foley PJ, et al. National trends in admissions, repair, and mortality for thoracic aortic aneurysm and type B dissection in the National Inpatient Sample. *J Vasc Surg.* 2018;67:1649-1658.

110. Mullan CW, Mori M, Mahmood SUB, et al. Incidence and characteristics of hospitalization for proximal aortic surgery for acute syndromes and for aneurysms in the USA from 2005 to 2014. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2020;58:583-589.

111. Holmes KW, Maslen CL, Kindem M, et al. GenTAC registry report: gender differences among individuals with genetically triggered thoracic aortic aneurysm and dissection. *Am J Med Genet A*. 2013;161A:779–786.

112. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2018;71:e127-e248.

113. van Andel MM, Indrakusuma R, Jalalzadeh H, et al. Long-term clinical outcomes of losartan in patients with Marfan syndrome: follow-up of the multicentre randomized controlled COMPARE trial. *Eur Heart J.* 2020;41: 4181–4187.

114. Chen S-W, Chan Y-H, Wu VC-C, et al. Effects of fluoroquinolones on outcomes of patients with aortic dissection or aneurysm. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2021;77:1875-1887.

KEY WORDS acute aortic syndrome, aortic diseases, management